



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/493,517	01/28/2000	Matthew Fuchs	OIN 1012-1	2359
22470	7590	02/24/2009		
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 366			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/493,517

Applicant(s)

FUCHS ET AL.

Examiner

DOUG HUTTON

Art Unit

2176

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DOUG HUTTON.

(3) _____.

(2) Ernest J. Beffel, Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Applicant to say that, in addition to any reason provided in the Advisory Action dated 02/09/2009 and any additional reasons that may arise during prosecution of the present application, Applicant's Response dated 01/16/2009 was not entered because it failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented, as required in 37 CFR 1.116(e).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DOUG HUTTON/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2176